



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/660,733	09/13/2000	James S Wilson	004578.1073	7374	
759	90 09/23/2002				
Jerry W Mills		EXAMINER			
Baker Botts LLP 2001 Ross Avenue			CIRIC, LJILJANA V		
Dallas, TX 752	201-2980		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743	3743	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

Interview	Summary	

Application No. 09/660,733

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

Wilson et al.

	Ljiljana V. Ciric	3743	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Ljiljana V. Ciric	(3)		
(2) <u>T. Murray Smith, Reg. No. 30,222</u>	(4)		
Date of Interview Aug 30, Sep 5, and Sep 11, 2002	-		
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative	e)	
	e) No. If yes, brief descripti		
On Sep 5, Examiner faxed a marked-up copy of the claims	including possible ways of corre	ecting indefinite	eness problems
to Attorney Smith. On Sep. 6, Attorney Smith faxed a dra	ft copy of amended claims inclu	ding most of the	ne above
Claim(s) discussed: 1-18 and 20-24			
Identification of prior art discussed: n/a			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g) 🛛 was not reached. h)	N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if	an agreement	was reached, or
Attorney Smith telephoned Examiner Ciric on August 30 to	inquire whether Examiner Ciric I	is a Primary Ex	aminer; she
confirmed that she is. Attorney Smith also initiated a discus	ssion regarding the various objec	tions and inde	finiteness
rejections set forth by Examiner Ciric in the Office action management remaining objection to the claims on the basis of minor info	rmalities in the next Office action	iric agreed to	withdraw the
regarding any other objections or rejections. Attorney Smit	th explained the dist of applicant	's invention (Siven this
additional information, Examiner Ciric submitted an informa	I proposal correcting most if not	all of the rema	nining
indefiniteness problems in the claims. On September 6, At	torney Smith faxed a draft copy	of amended cl	aims including
most of the proposed changes and also providing counterpr	oposals for the remaining indefin	niteness problei	ms. On
September 11, Examiner Ciric notified Attorney Smith that	the counterproposals were gene	rally accontabl	a with regard to
35 USC 112, 2nd paramaph - AVC (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	9-20-07— ments which the examiner agree	ed would rende	er the claims
i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa	ate record of the substance of th	ne interview (if	box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAINCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPE already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	P section 713.04). If a reply to M THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	the last Office	action has
	1.	PRIM	JANA V. CIRIC IARY EXAMINER RT UNIT 3743
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is	SV C	ini	·
an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's	signature if re	auired

Examiner's signature, if required